



## **Department of Government**

### **TA Evaluation Summary**

**Course:** Govt: 1313      **Term:** Spring 2014

**NUMBER OF RECORDS:** 44

**TA:** Masaki, Takaaki

**Professor:** Mertha, Andrew

#### **Teaching Assistants**

- |             |  |
|-------------|--|
| <u>4.61</u> | 11. I attended TA sessions. (5 = regularly; 1 = never)                   |
| <u>2.23</u> | 12. I met with my TA individually. (5 = regularly; 1 = never)            |
| <u>4.48</u> | 13. The TA was knowledgeable about the course material.                  |
| <u>4.34</u> | 14. The discussion sections were well-organized.                         |
| <u>4.27</u> | 15. The sections effectively reviewed materials covered in lecture.      |
| <u>3.98</u> | 16. The sections helped me to gain new insight into the subject matter.  |
| <u>4.39</u> | 17. The TA did a good job of attempting to stimulate student discussion. |
| <u>4.30</u> | 18. The TA was able to explain effectively difficult concepts.           |
| <u>4.79</u> | 19. The TA was available for individual consultation with students.      |
| <u>4.52</u> | 20. Overall, how would you rate the TA? (5 = excellent; 1 = poor)        |